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Úwù is one of the many endangered languages in Nigeria. The number of its fluent speakers is believed to be less than 2000. The language is spoken in a small community known as Àyèré in Ìjùmú Local Government Area (LGA) of Kogi state. This paper describes the manifestation of vowel deletion and insertion in the language with the view of testing earlier assertions on the nature of vowel deletion and insertion in languages that are genetically related to Úwù. Apart from this, the paper is also an attempt to document these phonological phenomena (i.e. vowel deletion and insertion) before the language goes into extinction. About six hundred (600) lexical items of Úwù were collected for this research work with the aid of the 1000 word-list of the Summer Institute of Linguistics. Both linear and non-linear models were adopted for analysis in this research work. Cases involving segmental phonemes were analyzed with the linear phonology, while cases of feature stability and feature spread were analyzed using the non-linear model. The paper, among other things, reveals that the pattern of vowel deletion is predictable in Úwù, auto-segments like tone (mostly high tone), nasality and labial or round features usually persist even when the vowel which bore them was deleted. Apart from this, the paper also reveals that [i] is the epenthetic vowel in Úwù, and lastly, it is argued in the paper that nouns in Úwù are virtually vowel initial.
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Earlier scholarly works in the area of phonology have proven that vowel deletion and insertion are two of the most productive phonological processes observed in many languages of the world (Glowacka, 2001; Uffmann, 2002; Frajzyngier, 2005; Hall, 2006). Research works carried out on the phonology of many African languages and precisely Benue-Congo languages have shown that there is hardly any African language that does not manifest the two or at least one of these phonological processes. Scholars, including Elugbe (1973), Ebokhare (1990), and Abiodun (2000; 2004), have all shown the pattern of vowel deletion in, Edo, Emai, Ukaan, and Yorùbá languages. Elugbe (1973) and Ebokhare (1990), report that vowel deletion is unpredictable in Edo and Emai languages because sometimes the first vowel (henceforth V₁) is deleted and some other times the second vowel (henceforth V₂) could be deleted in a V₁# V₂ construction. According to Ebokhare (1990), the choice of the deleted vowel is determined by the morpho-syntactic relations between the lexical sequences bearing the vowels (in Emai). Abiodun (2000; 2004) also reports that vowel deletion is also unpredictable in both Ukaan and Yorùbá languages. According to him, on vowel deletion within a verb phrase in Yorùbá, the vowel of the verb is most likely deleted if the noun is a derived one. However, in the case of an underived noun, any of V₁ or V₂ could be deleted.

On the pattern of vowel insertion, earlier scholars who have worked on various Benue-Congo languages have shown that vowels are usually inserted to break unwanted consonant clusters in languages that do not manifest consonant clusters. Apart from this, vowels may also be inserted to ensure that a vowel
ends every word in a language that operates only the open syllable type. Lastly, a vowel may also be inserted in the form of a prothesis so that a consonant-initial word conforms to the syllable structure of a language where non-verbal words are mainly vowel initial. A typical example of such a language is Edo as reported in Adeniyi (2008).

In this paper, these two phonological phenomena are described and by implication documented in the Ìwù language. The paper is divided into four sections. Section one is the introduction, section two presents the pattern of vowel deletion in Ìwù, while section three presents the pattern of the vowel insertion in the language. Finally, section four is the conclusion of the paper.

Materials and Methods

Vowel Deletion Ìwù

According to Abiodun (2007), the deletion process involves a loss of sound, which may be a vowel, a consonant, or a suprasegment. This paper shows that vowel deletion is very robust in the Ìwù language. The process is technically referred to as elision. As the name implies, it is the loss of a vowel in the course of derivation. On the effect of vowel deletion in languages where it occurs, Bamgbose (2006, p. 56) comments that; “Such a loss (of vowel) leads to the reduction in the number of syllables in the word or phrase”.

The process of elision in Ìwù occurs in three different grammatical constructions that include:

i. associative morpheme + noun
ii. verb + noun
iii. pronoun + future tense morpheme

Vowel Deletion in Associative Morpheme + Noun

In Ìwù, a noun-noun construction is usually mediated by a medial particle which prevents the occurrence of two nouns across word boundaries. Ibikunle (2014) observes a similar particle which performs the same function in Ìyìnò方言 (a dialect of Àïka spoken in Ondo state). Ibikunle (2014) referred to this particle as “associative morpheme” henceforth (AM). The same nomenclature is therefore adopted for the particle in this study. In Ìwù, this study reveals that the associative morpheme is underlyingly “ni”. However, the vowel of the morpheme is usually deleted whenever thenoun after the word boundary in the construction begins with a vowel, but when the noun after the word boundary begins with a consonant the vowel of the associative morpheme becomes overt. Consider the examples in 1 (a) and (b) below:

1. (a) i. ọgùní òtàn → ọgùnátàn ‘spring’
   ii. ádájánìkọ → ádájánìku ‘toilet’
   iii. ọmọníwà → ọmọníwá ‘door way’
   iv. ẹhì ni ọdà → ẹhìnàdà ‘room’
   v. ọkè nílègó ni nípì → ọkènlègó ‘boat’
   vi. ọkè ni Adè → ọkènàdè ‘Adè’s car’
   vii. ọdà ní ìrìgòrìgo → ọdànlìgòrìgò ‘rice’

1(b) i. ọgùní ni kóng → ọgùnínìkóng ‘well water’
   ii. ọkè ní Bólà → ọkènlíBólà ‘Bola’s cloth’
   iii. ọwájé òtàn → ọwájénlítàn ‘teacher’s food’
   iv. ọdà ní tajó → ọdáníjtajó ‘Tayo’s house’

As shown in 1 (a-b) above, the vowel of the associative morpheme which is contiguous with the first sound of the noun after the word boundary is lost at the derived form of the construction throughout the examples in 1 (a). This is because the initial sound of the noun after the word boundary is a vowel. However, the case in 1 (b) is different because the nouns after the word boundaries begin with consonants. This implies that for deletion to take place in an “associative morpheme + noun construction” in Ìwù, the noun after the morpheme boundary must begin with a vowel. It is noted that the nasal feature of the alveolar nasal; [n] is usually acquired by the vowel [i] of the associative morpheme. It is also noted that the nasal feature is usually transferred to the initial vowel of the noun after vowel [i] is deleted. This shows that when the vowel is deleted, its nasal feature persists. The deletion rule is captured by the rule below:

2. \[
\text{+syll} \quad \text{+high} \quad \text{Ø} \quad /-\theta/ \quad \text{+syll} \\
\text{back}
\]

On the pattern of deletion in Ìwù, it is observed that, the vowel [i] of the associative morpheme which is always the deleted segment shows that in an “associative morpheme + noun construction”, \( V_1 \) is usually deleted and its nasal feature transfers to \( V_2 \) in a \( V_1 \neq V_2 \) construction.

It should be recollected as said earlier that this phenomenon is not peculiar to Ìwù alone as Ibikunle (2014) reports a similar case in Ìyìnò. The examples below show the manifestation of the associative morpheme in Ìyìnò:
VOWEL DELETION AND INSERTION IN ÚWÚ

(Ibikunle, 2014, p. 13)

3. a. ènà mè èhàí → [ènàmèhàí] ‘animal’
   meat AM farm
b. ʃe ʃè → [ʃèmèhè] ‘Tití’s faeces’
   faeces AM Tití
c. ʃe ʃè → [ʃèmèhè] ‘rice’
   faeces AM rat

The examples from Iyinno have shown that just as the vowel [i] of the associative morpheme is deleted whenever the noun after the word boundary begins with a vowel in Úwú, the vowel [e] of the morpheme is also deleted in Iyinno whenever the noun after the word boundary begins with a vowel.

Vowel Deletion in Verb + Noun

Elision within a verb phrase is highly productive in Úwú. This is not strange as several scholars have shown that vowel deletion is highly productive in various languages of the world. Scholars like Elugbe (1973), Egbokhare (1990), Schiffman (1993), Abiodun (2000; 2004), Molczanow (2007) among others have shown the robustness of vowel deletion within a verb phrase in different languages. Apart from the robustness of vowel deletion within a verb phrase, scholars in the area of phonology have also shown that the choice of the deleted vowel across word boundaries in a V₁ # V₂ construction varies from one language to another. While some languages delete V₁ (e.g. Ukaan as shown in Abiodun (2000), other languages either delete V₁ or V₂ (e.g. Yorùbá as shown in Awobuluyi (1978; 1988), Bamgbose (2006), and Abiodun (2004). For instance, the data below taken from Abiodun (2004, pp. 3-8) show that any of V₁ and V₂ could be deleted in a V₁ # V₂ construction in Yorùbá:

4. (a) pa ẹjọ → pejọ ‘kill a snake’
   wo ọnjà → wọnjà ‘be on the look out’
   ra ẹpọ → repọ ‘buy oil / fuel’
   ʃe ʃè → ʃèpè ‘put a curse’
(b) ta ọjà → tajà ‘sell goods’
   se ọbè → sebẹ ‘cook soup’
   ro ọbè → robẹ ‘steal’
   ra ọjà → raju ‘buy goods’
   se ọbè → sebẹ ‘cook soup’
   ro ọbè → robẹ ‘stir soup’

In the examples above, V₂ survives deletion in 4 (a) while V₁ survives deletion in 4 (b). Based on the data presented above, it becomes convincing that the choice of the deleted vowel is unpredictable in Yorùbá.

This present study shows that vowel deletion within a verb phrase is highly productive in the Úwú language. Although, the phenomenon only occurs between a monosyllabic verb and a noun, in the case of a disyllabic or tri-syllabic verb, deletion does not occur, rather no phonological change is observed. But if the contiguous vowels are identical, then deletion will occur as the occurrence of identical vowels across the morpheme boundary is not allowed in Úwú. Consider the data below:

5. (a) kwu ʃè → [kwuʃè] ‘gather/collect fruits’
   ruhi ʃlè → [ruhiʃlè] ‘remember dream’
   ṡupà oʃyà → [ʃupàoʃyà] ‘climb tree’
   lsli oʃo → [lslioʃo] ‘swim river’
   bìnè adʃà → [bìnèadʃà] ‘start work’
   ʃueu əwò → [ʃueuəwò] ‘beat goat’
   ʃu əmà → [ʃuəmà] ‘beat child’
   wùrè ×olòwò → [wùrè ×olòwò] ‘ask for somebody’
(b) ɡbala alè → ɡbalaʃè ‘sweep floor’
   ruhi irì → ruhirì ‘remember a song’
   ruhi ilèmù → ruhílèmù ‘remember an orange…’
   kwuʃò owù → kwuʃòwù ‘gather/collect threads’

As shown in data 5 (a and b) above, the items in 5 (a) do not reflect any phonological change, but those in 5 (b) reflect deletion. The difference is due to the kind of the contiguous segments as already said; those in 5 (b) are identical vowels, whereas those in 5 (a) are different vowels.

Apart from the above, the study also reveals that within a verb phrase where the verb before a noun is monosyllabic, in a V₁ # V₂ construction in the language, it is always the V₁ that gets deleted. The corpus data presented below validate our claim:

6. i. jì + oʃí → jopí ‘take water’
ii. je + ìbà → ìba ‘become king’
iii. hi + ʃd → hìd ‘cook soup’
iv. jìwí + ìmà → jìma ‘give birth to a child’
v. fo + ìkí → ìkí ‘wash cloth’
vi. kpu + ìnà → kõnà ‘kill animal’
 vii. nọ + ìkí → nọkí ‘weave cloth’
 viii. je + ìkèpè → ʃèkèpè ‘eat groundnut’
 ix. d¾ + ìd¾ → ìd¾ ‘steal something’
 x. kó + ìd¾ → kid¾ ‘to dance’
 xi. kú + ìkí → kwákí ‘pack clothes’
 xii. kú + ìwú → Kwàwú ‘pack shirts’

21
From the examples above, it is observed that a high front vowel \([i]\) which is not present at the underlying representation surfaces at the phonetic representation. This change may make a casual observer to assume that the vowel of the verb changed to \([i]\) whenever the noun begins with a consonant. However, this kind of assumption may not be correct because one may not be able to account for this kind of change as it is not a common phonological process that occurs in natural languages. Alternatively, one may assume that the vowels of the monosyllabic verbs were deleted and an extraneous vowel \([i]\) introduced to block the cluster of consonants resulting from the deleted vowels. Consider the illustration below:

From the data above, it is observed that a high front vowel \([i]\) which is not present at the underlying representation surfaces at the phonetic representation. This change may make a casual observer to assume that the vowel of the verb changed to \([i]\) whenever the noun begins with a consonant. However, this kind of assumption may not be correct because one may not be able to account for this kind of change as it is not a common phonological process that occurs in natural languages. Alternatively, one may assume that the vowels of the monosyllabic verbs were deleted and an extraneous vowel \([i]\) introduced to block the cluster of consonants resulting from the deleted vowels. Consider the illustration below:

Although this assumption may appear to be more logical than the first one, it is worrisome to have the two rules; \(v\)-deletion and \(i\)-insertion to both apply to a single structure to arrive at its surface representation. One needs to ask the following questions:

i. Why would a language delete a vowel only to replace it with another one?

ii. How logical is the order of application of the two rules in terms of naturalness, economy, simplicity and plausibility?

The complexity and illogicality of the above rules may also compel one to consider a more appropriate and logical argument. This will lead one to assume that an extraneous vowel \([i]\) which is technically referred to as a prothesis is introduced before the consonant initial-nouns so as to ensure that all nouns begin with a vowel in the language. The prothetic vowel \([i]\) is covert when the nouns occur as the subject of sentences as shown below:

Note that 9iii is deviant.

From the data above, it is observed that a high front vowel \([i]\) which is not present at the underlying representation surfaces at the phonetic representation. This change may make a casual observer to assume that the vowel of the verb changed to \([i]\) whenever the noun begins with a consonant. However, this kind of assumption may not be correct because one may not be able to account for this kind of change as it is not a common phonological process that occurs in natural languages. Alternatively, one may assume that the vowels of the monosyllabic verbs were deleted and an extraneous vowel \([i]\) introduced to block the cluster of consonants resulting from the deleted vowels. Consider the illustration below:

Although this assumption may appear to be more logical than the first one, it is worrisome to have the two rules; \(v\)-deletion and \(i\)-insertion to both apply to a single structure to arrive at its surface representation. One needs to ask the following questions:

i. Why would a language delete a vowel only to replace it with another one?

ii. How logical is the order of application of the two rules in terms of naturalness, economy, simplicity and plausibility?

The complexity and illogicality of the above rules may also compel one to consider a more appropriate and logical argument. This will lead one to assume that an extraneous vowel \([i]\) which is technically referred to as a prothesis is introduced before the consonant initial-nouns so as to ensure that all nouns begin with a vowel in the language. The prothetic vowel \([i]\) is covert when the nouns occur as the subject of sentences as shown below:

Note that 9iii is deviant.
9. i. *sùkúrù ne olu á dá school foc O prv go
   'it is school that Olu went'
ii. bàtà ne Ade á dá shoe foc. A prv sold
   'it is shoe that Ade sold'
iii. *isùkúrù ne olu á dá school foc O prv go
    'it is school that Olu went'

Meanwhile, the vowel is overt whenever the nouns occur before a monosyllabic verb. Based on this new hypothesis, the underlying forms of the data in (7) may now be re-presented as:

10. i. ʃe eat + idodo plantain → ʃidodo 'fry plantain'
    ii. dà buy + ibata shoe → dibata 'buy shoe'
    iii. tà sell + ibéèììti belt → tibéèììti 'sell belt'
    iv. dá go school + isùkúrù → disùkúrù 'go to school'
    v. wá sell + iʃɔ́ɔ̀ʃì church → wíʃɔ́ɔ̀ʃì 'sell church'
    vi. wá come + ʃɔ́ɔ̀ʃì church → wíʃɔ́ɔ̀ʃì 'come to church'

One salient observation about the data above is that all the nouns are borrowed words. It can also be deduced from the data that nouns in Úwù must begin with a vowel; this explains why all the nouns in (10) begin with vowel [i]. It can therefore be argued that vowel [i] is usually inserted at the beginning of a consonant-initial loanword so that such a word fits into the phonotactic structure of the Úwù language. This kind of insertion is not strange. Elugbe (1989) and Adeniyi (2008) have both demonstrated that all nouns (and all non-verbal words) in Edo are vowel-initial. As a result, when the language borrows a word that begins with a consonant, a prothetic vowel [e] or [i] is usually introduced to nativise such a word. According to Adeniyi (2008), the extraneous vowel is usually inserted at the beginning of every consonant-initial borrowed word so that the word conforms to the phonotactic rule of Edo. Whereas, the prothetic vowel in Úwù is [i], it is [e/i] in Edo. Consider the data below from Edo which were drawn from Adeniyi (2008, p. 65)

11. èfíìmù 'film'
    èbélìti 'belt'
    èkóòmù 'comb'
    èkó ̣rísà 'chorister'
    èbúrù 'blue'
    èbúrédì 'bread'

The [i]-prothesis normally undergoes a perseverative assimilation such that it becomes like the final vowel of the first noun. He further asserts that all nouns in Yorùbá are vowel-initial. Oyebade (2008, p. 75) also reports a case of [e]-prothesis in Spanish. According to him, the language does not allow a consonant cluster that starts with the sibilant [s] to begin a word. When such happens, a vowel [e] is inserted at the beginning of the word:

14. ́spaɲol 'spanish'
    ́slavo 'slavic'
    ́splín 'spleen'

The data presented in this section have also shown that just like the case of the ‘associative marker + noun’ V₁ is always the deleted vowel in a construction consisting of V₁ ≠ V₂ in the Úwù language.

**Results**

**Vowel Deletion in Pronoun + Future Tense Morpheme**

This study also reveals that vowel deletion normally occur between a pronoun and a future tense morpheme. The future tense morpheme (henceforth FTM) in Úwù is égà. Its manifestation is illustrated with the examples below;

15. (a) i. má égà dá I FTM go → mégà dá 'I will go'
    ii. npó égà dá you FTM go → ṑóégà dá 'you will go'
    iii. wá égà dá he/she TM go → wégà dá 'he/she will go'
    iv. awá égà ìdídú we FTM dance → awégà ìdídú 'we will dance'
    v. émè égà ìdídú you(pl) FTM dance → émègà ìdídú 'you(pl) will dance'

In the same vein, Awobuluyi (2013) argues that the vowel that exists between two nouns where the second one begins with a consonant in a Yorùbá noun phrase like:
vi. ảmá égà djídjú  →  âmáṣà djídjú  'they will dance'

(b) i.  Adé égà dá  'Ade will go'
   A FTM go

ii.  Olú égà dá  'Olu will go'
    O FTM go

iii. wálé égà dá  'Wale will go'
     W FTM go

iv.  edjí égà dá  'it will rain'
     rain FTM fall

v.  mama égà dji  'thunder will strike'
    thunder FTM strike

vi.  ǎdjá égà gu  'the house will collapse'
     house FTM collapse

In 15 (a) above, it is observed that the vowels of the pronouns get deleted before the FTM. However, in 15 (b) where the words before the FTM are nouns, the vowels of the nouns were not deleted. It appears therefore that Ìwù language distinguishes between its nouns and pronouns by ensuring that the vowel of a pronoun is deleted before a FTM while that of a noun is not deleted in the same environment. It is also an indication that pronouns in Ìwù may constitute clitics, since they have the ability to concatenate with the FTM which occurs after them. Radford et al (1999) describe such clitics as proclitics since they precede their hosts. This kind of concatenation between pronouns and verbs or preverbal particles is not strange. Radford et al (1999, p. 174) report that pronouns in Romance languages behave like proclitics while those of Spanish may behave like proclitics or enclitics depending on the form of the verb. The examples in 15 (a) above have also affirmed the earlier claim in this paper that V₁ is always deleted while V₂ survives in a V₁ # V₂ construction in Ìwù language. This implies that the pattern of deletion in Ìwù is predictable. The illustration below shows the general pattern of deletion in the language.

\[ [+\text{syll}] \rightarrow \emptyset / - \# [+\text{syll}] \]

Discussion

Epenthesis in Ìwù

This is a process in which an extraneous segment (consonant or vowel) is introduced into a word to break up unacceptable sequences. It is also used to achieve the preferred syllable structure of a language. In Ìwù, only vowels can be inserted into a word, consonant insertion does not exist in the language. Adeniyi (2008) reports that vowel insertion takes place in three positions in Edo. These are morpheme initial, medial and final. In Ìwù, the same positions are also observed. According to Adeniyi (2008), the following forms can be pronounced in isolation in Edo (p. 65):

16.  èfíímù  'film'
     èbhéli  'belt'
     èkóómù  'comb'
     èkórisà  'chorister'
     íbýú  'blue'
     èbýú ñá  'bread'

However, in Ìwù, they are realized as:

17.  fíímù  'film'
     béli  'belt'
     kèomù  'comb'
     kórisità  'chorister'
     bůlù  'blue'
     býú ñá  'bread'

The same words are also assumed to be produced underlyingly as presented below when they are preceded by a verb:

18.  ifíímù  'film'
     ibéli  'belt'
     ikóómù  'comb'
     ikórisità  'chorister'
     ibýú  'blue'
     ibýú ñá  'bread'

The realization of the prothetic vowel in Ìwù has already been discussed in the previous section. In order to avoid repeating the same presentation; readers are advised to revisit section 2 for the argument on how the prothesis manifests in Ìwù. Concerning the observation that the prothetic vowel [i] in Ìwù only surfaces when a consonant initial-noun occurs after a verb, one can simply assume that the vowel is deleted when the nouns are in citation forms. The assumption that the vowel is deleted when the nouns are in citation forms appears to be correct because during an interaction with some native speakers of Ìwù, it was observed that Ìwù speakers do not normally distinguish between the forms of nouns in 19 and 20 below:

19.  ilógbó  'rat'
     iʃɔla  'fight (N)'
     iʃẹkpé  'cane'
     ilèmú  'orange'

20.  lógbó  'rat'
     fɔla  'fight (N)'
     ʃẹkpé  'cane'
     lèmú  'orange'

The data above show that the presence or absence of vowel [i] in nouns that begin with the vowel does not distort meaning. It therefore appears that vowel [i] is commonly deleted optionally when it begins a noun
in Úwù. This also points to the fact that Úwù nouns are probably vowel-initial and those nouns that are consonant-initial in the language are only products of [i]-deletion at the initial position in Úwù nouns. It is also believed that the deletion of the vowel extends to consonant-initial borrowed words even when it was inserted to nativise such words. However, while the vowel is optionally deleted in the case of Úwù native words when they occur in isolation, it is obligatorily deleted in the case of borrowed words. The obligatory deletion of the vowel [i] at the beginning of a borrowed word when in isolation is believed to be influenced by the contact with Yorùbá language which is the lingua franca of the Ayéré people, or alternatively, those forms are rendered in Yorùbá, while the Úwù forms are already eroded. In Yorùbá, borrowed words are pronounced without the prothetic vowel [i] in citation form as presented below:

21. bibëli ‘bible’
    tábëli ‘table’
    jësë ‘church’
    sëkësi ‘school’

Inspite of the forms presented above, Awobuluyi (2008; 2013) has consistently argued that all Yorùbá nouns are vowel-initial. He buttressed his claim by citing examples from the Mòbà dialect where all consonant-initial nouns in Standard Yorùbá begin with vowel [i] in Mòbà. Consider the examples below:

22. SY Mòbà dialect
    bòdë iibòdë ‘name’
    kôlë ikôlô ‘name’
    motò imotò ‘vehicle’
    tündë itìündé ‘name’

In view of the above argument by Awobuluyi, Ajiboye (2011) argues that the realization of the prothetic vowel [i] in Mòbà is context-based. According to Ajiboye, the prothetic vowel performs certain functions which include indicating possession and emphasis. However, when a supposed consonant-initial noun is pronounced in isolation, the prothetic vowels do not feature. This according to him explains why the following forms (Ajiboye, 2011, p. 58) are not acceptable forms in Mòbà and by extension in the Yorùbá language;

23. * ifìlā
    * ikòkörò
    * ìgèlè

Although the above forms may not be acceptable in citation, there are some other nouns in Yorùbá that begins with vowel [i]. Consider the examples below:

24. ilèkùn ‘door’
    iyàrè ‘room’
    ìdòwù ‘name’
    ìdògbé ‘name’
    iyèwù ‘room’
    inábí nábi ‘prostitution’

The nouns in (24) above and some others of the same form are believed to be the relics of the nouns that begin with the vowel [i] in Yorùbá. It therefore implies from a historical perspective that Yorùbá developed a rule that deletes the vowel [i] at word initial position in nouns, at some point along its historical development, and such words in (24) above and few others of the same form are the radical ones that were never affected by the deletion rule. Another area that supports the claim that [i] is often deleted in the Yorùbá language is the verb-noun construction. Awobuluyi (1988) and Abiodun (2004) both report that the pattern of deletion in Yorùbá may be predictable whenever [i] is involved. In the following examples, [i] is deleted as V₁ and V₂:

25. dëgi # òwó ña òjówó ‘steal money’
    ra # ìfù ña ðìfù ‘buy yam’

Ebira is another language just like Edo where nouns are mainly vowel-initial. Consonant-initial loanwords are also nativised in Ebira by inserting vowel [i] at the beginning of such words. The examples below are drawn from Abiodun (2007):

26. English Ebira
    mstô imstô ‘motor’
    bred ibredi ‘bread’
    kaum ìköomu ‘comb’
    skul: isukuru ‘school’
    palis iporiisi ‘police’

In the same vein, Anaang; a Benue-Congo language spoken in the Akwa Ibom state of Nigeria is another language whose nouns are mainly vowel-initial. When the language borrows a consonant-initial noun, [a]-prothesis is inserted at the beginning of such a word so as to conform to the phonotactic structure of the language. Consider the examples below (Ekpe, 2012):

27. English Anaang
    /waia/ ìawayà ‘wire’
    /mangau/ amango ‘mango’
    /glæs/ àsìlas ‘glass’
    /kompju:tə/ åkòmputa ‘computer’
    /fæn/ afaq ‘fan’

Evidence from Edo, Úwù, Yorùbá, Ebira and Anaang
languages presented above shows that nouns of most Benue-Congo languages are mainly vowel-initial, and various languages employ different prothesis to nativise loan words that are consonant-initial. For instance; it is [e/i] in Edo, it is also [i] in Úwù, Yorùbá and Ebira, whereas in Anaang it is [a]. From the foregoing, it becomes quite convincing that the prothetic vowel [i] is prespecified in the Úwù lexicon but it may be deleted when the nouns are in citation forms. However, the difference between Úwù and Yorùbá, and Edo, Ebira and Anaang is that while the prothetic vowels are deleted when the nouns are pronounced in isolation in the former, they are never deleted in the case of the latter.

Oyebade (1998, p. 75) reports that epenthesis is a very common phenomenon in the loan-word phonology of many African languages. The case of Úwù affirms this report as shown in the examples below:

28. **English** | **Úwù**
---|---
/belt/ | /bɛlíìtì/ ‘belt’
/brʌʃ/ | /búrᴐ́ᴐ̀ʃì/ ‘brush’
/breik/ | /búréèkí/ ‘brake’
/sku:l/ | /súkúrù/ ‘school’
/sleit/ | /síléètì/ ‘slate’
/kəum/ | /kóòmù/ ‘comb’
/freim/ | /fúrémù/ ‘frame’
/bred/ | /búrέdì/ ‘bread’
/teibl/ | /tébù/ ‘table’

From the examples above, one notices that the sound [l] in /sku:l/ is realized as [r]; /súkúrù/ ‘school’ in Úwù, whereas the sounds do not alternate in other words. The question posed by this irregular alternation is “how come it is only restricted to /sku:l/?” Two logical answers could be provided for this question; the first one is to suggest that the form must have been influenced by contact with Owé dialect of Yorùbá spoken in Kàbbà which is about 8 kilometers from Àyèré. Findings reveal that school is also pronounced as súkúrù in Owé. This assumption is possible due to the close relationship that exists between the people of Àyèré and Kàbbà. The second one is to suggest that the form is influenced by the contact with Èbìrà spoken in Òkenné which is about 28 kilometers away from Àyèré. The [l] → [r] alternation is common to Èbìrà.

It is also observed that Úwù breaks the cluster of consonants either by the introduction of an epenthetic vowel [u] or [i] depending on the roundness or unroundness of the vowels that occur after such clusters, on the one hand, and also the involvement or non-involvement of a labial consonant on the other hand. [u] is usually inserted whenever the vowel that occurs after the cluster is a round vowel or when the cluster involves a labial consonant otherwise, the vowel [i] is usually inserted. The same condition also determines which of the vowels is inserted when a word ends with a consonant. Consider the illustration below:

29. Seg. tier: | Skeletal tier:  
---|---
| |  
| b | r | e | d | b | r | e | d  
| | | | | | | | |  
| c | c | v | c | c | v | c | v  
| u | i |  

30. Seg. tier:  
---
|  
| s | r | u: | l | s | k | u | r  
| | | | | | | | |  
| c | c | v | c | c | v | c | v  
| u | u  

Using the linear model, the epenthetic rules are presented thus:

31. Seg. tier:  
---
|  
| f | r | ei | m | f | r | e | m  
| | | | | | | | |  
| c | c | v | c | c | v | c | v  
| u | u  

32.  
---
|  
| i/u |  
| | |  
| | | |  
| | | | |  
| | | | | |  
| | | | | | |  
| | | | | | | |  
| |  

The alternative use of the epenthetic vowels [i] and [u] as seen in the data above is not peculiar to Úwù. Egbokahare (1990) observes in Emai that [u] occurs also as the epenthetic vowel after labial consonants, it also occurs after other consonants if a rounded vowel occurs in an adjacent syllable. [i] occurs as the epenthetic vowel in non-labial environments. Adeniyi (2008) also asserts that the case of Emai as reported by Egbokhare (1990) is also applicable to Edo. Egbokahare (1990) further observes that a similar situation is reported in Yorùbá by Awobuluyi (1967; 1978) and Pulleyblank (1988). He notes that Pulleyblank accounts for the phenomenon in Yorùbá in terms of the application of redundancy rules and labial harmony rule. Redundancy rules account for the occurrence of [i] being the underspecified vowel while labial harmony ensures that [i] becomes [u] in the labial context. He stated further that "since consonants can only occur in the onset position, any unsyllabified consonant must be assigned a rhyme. And since there would be no motivation for any particular vowel quality to be present on that rhyme, the unmarked expectation will be for redundancy rules to fill in feature values for such an epenthetic vowel. The illustration below shows..."
Pulleyblank provided strong motivations for recognizing [i] as supplied by redundancy rules in Yorùbá which is also observed to be true about Úwù. [i] is therefore postulated as the epenthetic vowel in Úwù. The Vowel becomes [u] in a labial environment as a result of the application of labial harmony rule which spreads the labial feature of a labial sound occurring in an adjacent syllable on the inserted vowel [i]. Egbohkare (1990) gives an accurate picture of the insertion process in Emai which fits squarely into Úwù. This is captured by the derivation below:

\[ \emptyset \rightarrow [i] \rightarrow [u] [\text{labial}] \]

by insertion by labial harmony

Other salient observations are the cases of vowel lengthening, the High-Low (HL) tone sequence on the lengthened vowels and the low tone on the epenthetic vowel /i/u that ensures that a nativised English word conforms to the open syllable structure of the Úwù language. On this note, Oyebade (2008) reports that Ayo Bamgbose and Kenstowicz (2000, p. 1) had observed a similar case in the Yorùbá language and both claimed that the lengthening was an attempt by the recipient language to stay faithful to the falling intonation pattern of English stressed syllable; since Yorùbá disallows glide tone on short vowels, it adopts the strategy of lengthening to accommodate the gliding pitch of English stress. However, Oyebade takes a look at the lengthening using the optimality theory, and makes a convincing argument about the process in Yorùbá. According to him, vowel lengthening in Yorùbá is motivated by the desire to preserve the prosodic structure of the input syllable from English. He argues this by saying that "stressed syllables in English are mainly bimoraic. In other words, they have a duration that is longer than their unstressed counterparts. This fact can be asserted more firmly for heavy syllables. Heavy syllables are those ones with long vowel, diphthong or an arresting coda (that is, closed syllable)" (Oyebade 2008, p. 43). He went further to remark that lengthening in Yorùbá words on English providence is motivated by the desire of Yorùbá to preserve the prosodic structure of the syllable(s) of such word(s) as they come from their source. Thus, a monosyllabic word like ‘brush’ with an arresting coda comes with the prosodic structure:

\[ \sigma \sigma \]

Borrowed into Yorùbá word, the word presents the structure below:

\[ \sigma \sigma \]

Notice that the structure of the input syllable is preserved subject to the ban, in Yorùbá, of complex onset in a syllable and prohibition of arresting consonant. The same is applicable to all monosyllabic words. Oyebade’s argument is affirmed in this paper as the same case is applicable to Úwù.

**Conclusion**

This paper has described the manifestation of vowel deletion in Úwù. The paper observes that the process of elision in Úwù occurs in three different grammatical constructions that include: associative morpheme + noun, verb + noun, and pronoun + future tense morpheme. The vowel of the AM is usually deleted whenever the morpheme precedes a vowel-initial noun. In a verb phrase (or verb + noun construction), the vowel of the verb is usually deleted when the verb is a monosyllabic one. In a verb phrase where the verb is a disyllabic or tri-syllabic one, no phonological change occurs unless the contiguous vowels are identical (in which one of the vowel is usually deleted). In a construction involving a pronoun + future tense
morpheme, the vowel of the pronoun is usually deleted. When a vowel is deleted, autosegmental features like high tone, nasality and roundness usually survive the process and then realign with other neighboring segments. From the foregoing, it could be concluded that in a V₁ # V₂ construction in Úwù, V₁ is usually deleted while V₂ survives the deletion process, which the pattern of vowel deletion is predictable in Úwù.

On the pattern of epenthesis in the language, this research work reveals that only vowels can be inserted into a word, consonant insertion does not exist in the language. Vowel insertion takes place in three positions in Úwù; these are morpheme initial, medial and final. The paper also reveals that [i] is the underlying form of the inserted vowel, and that the vowel usually becomes [u] in a labial environment. Another salient observation in the paper is the case of vowel lengthening, the HL tone sequence on the lengthened vowels and the low tone on the epenthetic vowel [i/u] that ensures that a nativised English word conforms to the open syllable structure of Úwù language. On this note, it is observed that these were motivated by the need to stay faithful to the prosodic structure of the donor language. These various observations imply that the pattern of insertion in Úwù does not differ from findings in earlier research on the manifestation of epenthesis in Benue-Congo languages. Finally, the paper holds that nouns in the language are mainly vowel-initial.

One of the major problems confronting minor languages is that not only are they endangered, quite a number of them are fast going into extinction without any form of documentation (Allison, 2015, p. 7). One of the ways to salvage endangered languages from going into extinction is to document them. It is therefore recommended in this paper that more researches should be carried out on Úwù. Future researchers should look into other aspects of phonology, morphology, syntax, semantics and sociolinguistics. The native speakers of Úwù are also enjoined to produce literature materials on the language. These two steps will make people know about the structure and grammar of Úwù and by implication ensure that the language is documented.
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